PROJECT DELIVERY REPORT Trade Corridors Improvement Fund

The submitting agency will be responsible for maintaining documentation of the information entered on this report. (Please type your response, handwritten reports will not be accepted)

A. Project Information			Date:	10/23/2018
TCIF # (Segment):	100	Other Project Identifier (EA, Proje	ect #, PPNO, etc):	44812 / 0154D
Project Title:	I-10 Tippecanoe Ave Interchange In	mprovement Phase II		
Delivery Report: Supplemental - Due at the conclusion of all project activities.				
Location: County:	San Bernardino	City: San Bernardino	-	
Project Description:	In the City of San Bernardino West of Wes	t of Tippecanoe Ave to East of Tip tbound I-10 ramps and improve to		nd realignment
B, Contact Information Implementing Agency:	SBCTA	and the state of t	Caltrans District Numb	8
Contact Person:	Andrea Nieto		Phone:	909-884-8276
Email Address:	anieto@gosbcta.com			
C. Cost Environmental	Adopted Program Amount (\$)	Current Approved Amount (\$)	Actual Expended Amount (\$)	Net Difference (Dollars)
Total Amount Design		84-744 Bask (\$2 % 541)	RANGELYK, MROL	\$0
Total Amount Right of Way	\$5,189,000	\$5,189,000	\$3,089,890	\$2,099,110
Total Amount Construction TCIF	\$34,175,000 \$10,669,000	\$34,175,000 \$8,690,958	\$33,299,903 \$8,284,228	\$875,097 \$406,730
Local	\$2,821,000	\$1,566,000	\$681,824	\$884,176
Federal Other	\$6,935,000	\$6,658,000	\$7,947,948	-\$1,289,948 \$0
Totals	\$59,789,000	\$56,278,958	\$53,303,793	\$2,975,165
D. Schedule				
	Adopted Program Date	Current Approved Date	Actual Begin/End Date	Net Difference (Months)
Environmental Phase Begin	12/19/05	12/19/05	12/20/05	E
End Design (PS&E) Phase	01/27/11		01/27/11 12/03/08	
Begin End	12/03/08 01/28/14	12/03/08 01/28/14	01/28/14	
Right of Way Phase Begin End	01/27/11 01/27/14	01/27/11 01/27/14	01/27/11 01/27/19	60
Construction Phase Begin	08/06/14	08/06/14	10/01/14	
End Closeout Date	02/01/17	02/01/17	06/01/17	70 o 207
Begin	02/02/17	02/02/17	01/01/19	23

E. Amendments List approved amendments Amendment # CTC Meeting Summary of Changes (Scope, Cost, Schedule) 1 TCIF. Ah - 1415- 09 3/2015 Award adjustment reduce TCIF by \$1,978,000 to a total of \$8,691,000

TCIF-A-1314-146 3120114 CTC allocated \$10,669,000

F. Project Benefits Describe and compare project benefits with those included in the approved Baseline Agreement.			
Outcomes	Adopted Program	Current Approved	Actual
Safety	Improve the merge/diverge operations and reduce weaving conflicts at the westbound on-ramps.	Improve the merge/diverge operations and reduce weaving conflicts at the westbound on-ramps.	Operational and safety improvement achieved by removing weaving and conflict at westbound on-ramps.
Velocity	Reduce AM and PM Peak from 1,406 to 769 and 1,791 to 722, respectively.	Reduce AM and PM Peak from 1,406 to 769 and 1,791 to 722, respectively.	Observed speeds throughout study area have improved due to safety and operational improvements along with increased capacity
Throughput	The project will improve LOS through the design year of 2035.	The project will improve LOS through the design year of 2035.	LOS is improved as expected through the year 2035
Reliability	Relive traffic congestion and reduce traffic delays during peak operating hours at the adjacent interchange, nearby arterial and collector roads.	Relive traffic congestion and reduce traffic delays during peak operating hours at the adjacent interchange, nearby arterial and collector roads.	Reduced traffic congestion at the Interchange and nearby arterials and streets during peak hours.
Congestion Reduction	Phase I and II of the project is projected to reduce Daily Vehicle Hours of Delay by 14,571 hrs and Daily Peak Hour Person Minutes by 268,060.	Phase I and II of the project is projected to reduce Daily Vehicle Hours of Delay by 14,571 hrs and Daily Peak Hour Person Minutes by 268,060.	Reduced traffic congestion at the interchange and nearby arterials during peak hours has led to significant reductions in vehicle and person delay as anticipated.
Emissions Reductions	The project will provide positive regional air quality benefits.	The project will provide positive regional air quality benefits.	The project shows air quality Improvement by reducing traffic congestion.

G. Differences/Variances

Describe differences/variances (if any) and reason for, between approved scope, cost, schedule, and actual.

After construction was completed for beneficial use, the project has plant establishment. SBCTA is in the process of selling the excess land, closing of the project will begin when the excess land is sold expected at the end of 2018. SBCTA is waitting for FHWA approval of sliver parcels since they are direct sales that are below fair market value.

H. Lessons-Learned/Best Practices	
Describe lessons-learned and best practices for future projects.	
None	

Certification Signature

Implementating Agency

I hereby certify to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information in this report is a true and accurate record. The work was performed in accordance with the CTC approved scope, cost, schedules, and benefit information in the Baseline Agreement.

Philip Olin			
(Print name) Project Manager	a decorate comment of the same		
	-zpn	*****	10/23/18.
(Signature) Project Manager	Married and a service of the second of the second	The same of the sa	Oate /
Caltrans			
The TCIF Division Program Coordinator at information contained in this report and ha			artment of Transportation has reviewed the

	RAPAKIAM	. <u> </u>
(Print Name) TCIF Division Progre	m Coordinator/Project Manager	
21 mun		11/6/18
(Signature)TCIF Division Program	Coordinator/Project Manager	Date
	1	

The TCIF Program Lead from the California Department of Transportation has reviewed the information contained in the report and concurs with the approval.

Tony Cano	
(Print Name) TCIF Program Lead	
Tonyla	11/7/18
(Signature) TOF Program Lead	Date

Distribution: 1) Local Agency, 2) Division Program Coordinator/Project Manager, 3) TCIF Program Lead, 4) CTC