PROJECT DELIVERY REPORT Trade Corridors Improvement Fund

The submitting agency will be responsible for maintaining documentation of the information entered on this report. (Please type your response, handwritten reports will not be accepted)

A. Project Information			Date:	12-Oct-15	
TCIF # (Segment)	:17_	Other Project Identifier (EA, F	Project #, PPNO, etc):	07-932837L	
	: ACE: Gateway-Valley View G				
Delivery Report: Final- Due within six months of project becoming operable. Supplemental - Due at the conclusion of all project activities.					
Location: County	Los Angeles	Santa Fe springs		<u> </u>	
Project Description: B. Contact Information	to accommodate existing trains using the corridor and to future capacity, speed and volume of freight.				
Implementing Agency: City of Santa Fe Springs Caltrans District Number: 7					
Contact Person: Noe Negrete, Public Works Director Phone: (562) 868-0511					
Email Address:	noenegrete@santafesprings.c	org			
C. Cost			1		
Environmental	Adopted Program Amount (\$)	Current Approved Amount (\$)	Actual Expended Amount (\$)	Net Difference (Dollars)	
Total Amount	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
<u>Design</u>			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Total Amount	\$2,996,000	\$4,000,000	\$4,000,000	\$0	
Right of Way	040.040.000				
Total Amount Construction	\$10,640,000	\$15,281,000	\$18,554,401	-\$3,273,401	
TCIF	\$25 570 000	£40.040.000	040.040.000		
Local	\$25,570,000	\$18,012,000	\$18,012,000	\$0	
Federal	\$1,909,000	\$2,054,000	\$1,026,789	\$1,027,211	
Other	\$8,360,000	\$9,509,000	\$10,423,907	-\$914,907	
Ottici	\$25,702,000	\$15,141,000	\$11,495,654	\$3,645,346	
<u>Totals</u>	\$75,177,000	\$63,007,000	#CD 540 754	2424.242	
101013	\$75,177,000	\$63,997,000	\$63,512,751	\$484,249	
D. Schedule					
	Adopted Program Date	Current Approved Date	Actual Begin/End Date	Net Difference (Months)	
Environmental Phase				()	
Begin	09/12/05	09/12/05	09/12/05	0	
End	09/12/05	09/12/05	09/12/05	- ŏ	
Design (PS&E) Phase					
Begin	06/01/06	09/01/05	09/01/05	0	
End	03/01/08	12/31/10	12/31/10	0	
Right of Way Phase	07/04/00				
Begin End	07/01/06	07/01/06	07/01/06	0	
⊑па Construction Phase	10/01/08	12/31/10	12/31/10	0	
Begin	02/01/09	05/31/12	00/00/40	, I	
End	04/01/11	08/31/14	08/20/12 02/12/15	<u>3</u>	
Closeout Date		00,0 1/17	02/12/10	- '	
Begin	04/01/11	09/01/14	02/13/15	6	
End	07/01/11	11/30/14	02/01/16	14	

E. Amendments List approved amendments				
Amendment #	CTC Meeting	Summary of Changes (Scope, cost, Schedule)		
1	1/19/2011 (TCIF-P-1011-14)	Amend TCIF baseline agreement [PPNO TC 17] to revise the project schedule for design, ROW, and construction and to revise the project funding plan.		
2	5/23/1012 (TCIF-P-1112-42)	Amend TCIF baseline agreement [PPNO TC 17] to update the project delivery schedule, funding plan for design, ROW, and construction.		
3	10/23/2014 (TCIF-AA-1213-05)	De-allocate \$6,478,000 to decrease original funding of \$25,570,000 to \$19,092,000 De-allocate \$851,000 to decrease funding of \$19,092,000 to		
4	10/21/2015	\$18,241,000		
5	12/9/2015	De-allocate \$229,000 to decrease funding of \$18,241,000 to \$18,012,000		

F. Project Benefits Describe and compare project benefits with those included in the approved Baseline Agreement.					
Outcomes	Adopted Program	Current Approved	Actual		
Safety	Remove at grade crossing. Eliminate trian/Ped conflict.	Remove at grade crossing. Eliminate trian/Ped conflict.	At grade crossing eliminated. Valley View & Stage Rd grade separated.		
Velocity	Eliminate grade crossing improves BNSF train speed & goods movement	Eliminate grade crossing improves BNSF train speed & goods movement	Grade crossing eliminated. Trainivehicle/pedestrian conflict eliminated. Train speed improves		
Throughput	Project designed to accommodate BNSF expansion to Include 3rd main track, improves goods movement	Project designed to accommodate BNSF expansion to include 3rd main track. Improves goods movement	BNSF 3rd main track construction in progress.		
Reliability	Eliminate at grade crossing: Improves safety and movemet of goods & vehicles thru. Valley View	Eliminate at grade crossing: improves safety and movemet of goods & vehicles thru. Vailey View	No vehicle queueing at croesing, improves emergency response time. Eliminate train accident at crossing.		
Congestion Reduction	12.2 hrs reduction in ave. daily vehicle hrs, 13.04 miles reduction in vehicle queue length (2030)	12.2 hrs reduction in ave. daily vehicle hrs, 13.04 miles reduction in vehicle queue length (2030)	From observations, substantial improvements in both vehicle queueing length and idling hours.		
Emissions Reductions	Eliminate 8.3 gms/day of		Less vehicle queueing and train operation efficiencies will achieve greenhouse gas emissions and particulate matter reduction.		

G. Differences/Variances Describe differences/variances (if any) and reason for, between approved scope, cost, schedule, and actual. 1) Scope: No change from approved scope to actual scope. All baseline objectives have been achieved. 2) Cost: The Project was allocated \$25,570,000 of TCIF funding approved by CTC on 4/10/2008. After bids opening and award

of the construction contract, the construction cost was decreased from \$59.877 MM to \$55.596 MM as a result of changes in the market conditions as the bids came in lower than estimated. Request to de-allocate TCIF funding \$6.478 MM to decrease original allocation of \$ 25,570,000 to \$ 19,091,722. De-allocation was approved by CTC on 10/23/12. This closeout Project Delivery Report provides a final actual expended amount of \$18,012,000.

3) Schedule: Two Amendments were submitted for schedule changes due to ROW and construction start date delays. Amendment No. 1 approved by CTC on

were submitted for schedule changes due to ROW and construction start date delays. Amendment No. 1 approved by CTC on 1/19/2011 extend design and ROW schedule due to unforseen design and ROW acquisition changes. Amendment No. 2 approved by CTC on 5/23/2012 extend construction start date from 5/1/2011 to 5/31/2012 because more time was required to obligate federal funding than originally anticipated. The construction contract was eventually awarded by the City on 5/24/2012.

<u></u>	
H. Lessons-Learned/Best Practices	
Describe lessons-learned and best practices for future projects.	
Lesson- Learned: 1) Utility Agreements should start early to keep project on schdule 2) Selection of project designe be more stringent to get a better product	r should
Best Practices: 1) Formal Partnering with Railroad and Contractor 2) Review and work with contractor for phasing of to reduce construction duration.	hanges

Certification Signature

Implementating Agency

I hereby certify to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information in this report is a true and accurate record. The work was performed in accordance with the CTC approved scope, cost, schedules, and benefit information in the Baseline Agreement.

Noe Negrete	8
(Print name) Project Manager	<i>X</i>
J. 11	10/12/15
(Signature) Pfoject Manager	' Date
e.	इ
Caltrans	
The TCIF Division Program Coordinator and/or the Project Manager from reviewed the Information contained in this report and has verified the in	
Bill Huang (Print Name) TCIF Division Program Coordinator/Project Manager	*
(Print Name) TGIF Division Program Coordinator/Project Manager	
Fier Guard	10/12/16
(Signature)TCIF Division Program Coordinator/Project Manager	Date
· ·	w.
The TCIF Program Lead from the California Department of Transportati and concurs with the approval.	on has reviewed the information contained in the report
Antonio Cano. (Print Name) TCIF Program Lead	
(Print Name) TGIF Program Lead	
(Signature) TCIF Program Lead	10/19/16
(Olghature) FOIF Frogram Lead	Date

Distribution: 1) Local Agency, 2) Division Program Coordinator/Project Manager, 3) TCIF Program Lead, 4) CTC