PROJECT DELIVERY REPORT Trade Corridors Improvement Fund

The submitting agency will be responsible for maintaining documentation of the information entered on this report. (Please type your response, handwritten reports will not be accepted)

A. Project Information			Date:	14-Oct-16	
TCIF # (Segment)	:22	Other Project Identifier (EA, P	roject #, PPNO, etc):	TCIF-5006(712)	
Project Title	South Wilmington Grade Sepa	aration			
Delivery Report:		cmonths of project becoming c at the conclusion of all project			
Location: County	: Los Angeles	City: Wilmington			
Project Description:	Grade separation to separate	vehicle/truck traffic from active	e rail line.		
B. Contact Information					
Implementing Agency	: Port of Los Angeles		_Caltrans District Number:		
Contact Person: Guillermo Martinez Jr., P.E. Phone:				310-732-3090	
Email Address	: gmartinez@portla.org			-	
C. Cost	Adopted Program Amount (\$)	Current Approved Amount (\$)	Actual Expended Amount (\$)	Net Difference (Dollars)	
Environmental Total Amount	\$0	\$520,000	\$0	\$520,000	
<u>Design</u> Total Amount	\$4,284,000	\$6,631,000	\$5,658,015	\$972,985	
Right of Way Total Amount Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
TCIF Local	\$17,000,000 \$46,820,960	\$15,021,000 \$19,288,000	\$11,690,432 \$16,798,411	\$3,330,568 \$2,489,589	
Federal Other	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$33,384,000	\$0 \$23,337,757	\$0 \$10,046,243	
<u>Totals</u>	\$68,104,960	\$74,844,000	\$57,484,615	\$17,359,385	
D. Schedule	Adopted Program Date	Current Approved Date	Actual Begin/End Date	Net Difference (Months)	
<u>Environmental Phase</u> Begin End	06/01/05 04/05/06	06/01/05 11/15/11	06/01/05 02/13/06	0 -70	
Design (PS&E) Phase Begin	07/01/07	06/01/05	07/01/07	25	
End Right of Way Phase	07/01/10	04/27/12 07/01/07	01/13/12 07/01/07	-4	
Begin End <u>Construction Phase</u>	07/01/07 12/30/11	06/07/12	06/07/12	0	
Begin End	01/01/11 01/31/13	11/01/12 11/01/14	11/01/12 03/30/15	0 5	
Closeout Date Begin	02/01/13	11/01/14	11/01/14	0	

E. Amendments					
List approved amendments					
Amendment#	CTC Meeting	Summary of Changes (Scope, Cost, Schedule)			
TCIF-P-1112-46	June 27,2012	Revised schedule (See Section D above), costs (See Section C above) and funding plan.			
TCIF-AA-1415-08	March 26, 2015	Reduced grant amount from \$17,000,000 to \$15,021,000 (See Section C above).			

F. Project Benefits Describe and compare project benefits with those included in the approved Baseline Agreement.						
Outcomes	Adopted Program	Current Approved	Actual			
Safety	The conflict between trucks/vehicles and trains will be elminated.	The conflict between trucks/vehicles and trains will be elminated.	Conflict has been eliminated.			
Velocity	Removal of conflict stated in "Safety" section will improve LOS and reduce delays resulting in improved Velocity, Throughput and Reliability of the system.	Removal of conflict stated in "Safety" section will improve LOS and reduce delays resulting in improved Velocity, Throughput and Reliability of the system.	Conflict has been eliminated resulting in better velocity within the system due to improved LOS and delays.			
Throughput	Removal of conflict stated in "Safety" section will improve LOS and reduce delays resulting in improved Velocity, Throughput and Reliability of the system.	Removal of conflict stated in "Safety" section will improve LOS and reduce delays resulting in improved Velocity, Throughput and Reliability of the system.	Conflict has been eliminated resulting in better throughput within the system due to improved LOS and delays.			
Reliability	Removal of conflict stated in "Safety" section will improve LOS and reduce delays resulting in improved Velocity, Throughput and Reliability of the system.	Removal of conflict stated in "Safety" section will improve LOS and reduce delays resulting in improved Velocity, Throughput and Reliability of the system.	Conflict has been eliminated resulting in better reliability within the system due to improved LOS and delays.			
Congestion Reduction	Existing and future level of service will improve from an unacceptable F to A (free flow conditions).	Existing and future level of service will improve from an unacceptable F to A (free flow conditions).	LOS is currently estimated at A.			
Emissions Reductions	Air Emission Reductions (Tons) by 2030 as are follows: ROG (1.8), CO (12.4), Nox (9.7), Sox (0.0), PM (0.2), PM10 (0.2) and PM2.5 (0.2).	Air Emission Reductions (Tons) by 2030 as are follows: ROG (1.8), CO (12.4), Nox (9.7), Sox (0.0), PM (0.2), PM10 (0.2) and PM2.5 (0.2).	TBD by 2030			

G. Differences/Variances
Describe differences/variances (if any) and reason for, between approved scope, cost, schedule, and actual.
Design was delayed due to utility coordination complexities. However, Design was completed 4 months ahead of approved schedule. The project was required to be rebid after the lowest bidder was deeemed non- responsive, and construction progress was initially delayed by third party utility relocation work resulting in a 5 month delay to the Construction End date.
H. Lessons-Learned/Best Practices Describe lessons-learned and best practices for future projects.
Describe lessons-learned and best practices for future projects. Issue: Third Party surveyors delayed construction of MSE walls, Lesson Learned: Use in-house surveyors in the future, Issue: Some utility as-built information was outdated on the plans. Lesson Learned: Use Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) to confirm as builts in the future. Issue: Third Party Utilities very slow to relocated during construction. Lesson Learned: Require third party
Describe lessons-learned and best practices for future projects. Issue: Third Party surveyors delayed construction of MSE walls. Lesson Learned: Use in-house surveyors in the future. Issue: Some utility as-built information was outdated on the plans. Lesson Learned: Use Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) to confirm as
Describe lessons-learned and best practices for future projects. Issue: Third Party surveyors delayed construction of MSE walls, Lesson Learned: Use in-house surveyors in the future, Issue: Some utility as-built information was outdated on the plans. Lesson Learned: Use Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) to confirm as builts in the future. Issue: Third Party Utilities very slow to relocated during construction. Lesson Learned: Require third party
Describe lessons-learned and best practices for future projects. Issue: Third Party surveyors delayed construction of MSE walls, Lesson Learned: Use in-house surveyors in the future, Issue: Some utility as-built information was outdated on the plans. Lesson Learned: Use Ground Penetrating Radar (GPR) to confirm as builts in the future. Issue: Third Party Utilities very slow to relocated during construction. Lesson Learned: Require third party

Certification Signature

implementating Agency

I hereby certify to the best of my knowledge and belief, the information in this report is a true and accurate record. The work was performed in accordance with the CTC approved scope, cost, schedules, and benefit information in the Baseline Agreement.

Raymond Williams (Print name) Project Manager	
(Fint hame) Project Manager	
Sent WAD	10/10/2016
(Signature) Project Manager	Date
Caltrans	
The TCJF Division Program Coordinator and/or the Project Manager from the Califor reviewed the information contained in this report and has verified the information pre-	rnia Department of Transportation has esented is correct.
Print Name) TCIF Division Program Coordinator/Project Manager	
(Print Name) TCIF Division Program Coordinator/Project Manager	
Fiel Acons	10/31/2016
(Signature)TCIF Division Program Coordinator/Project Manager	Date
The TCIF Program Lead from the California Department of Transportation has revie	wed the information contained in the report
and concurs with the approval.	med and amountained ar the report
Antonio Cano (Print Name) TCIF Program Lead	
(Print Name) TCIF Program Lead	
	11/7/16
(Signature) TCIF Program Lead	Date Date
	•

Distribution: 1) Local Agency, 2) Division Program Coordinator/Project Manager, 3) TCIF Program Lead, 4) CTC