## SUPPLEMENTAL FINAL DELIVERY REPORT (SFDR) TRADE CORRIDORS IMPROVEMENT FUND

The submitting agency will be responsible for maintaining documentation of the information entered on this report.

See separate tab for instructions on form completion. (Please type your response, handwritten reports will not be accepted)

ition			Date:	7/16/2014		
roject ID:	44	Other Project Identifier	(EA, Project #, PPNO, etc):	Programming/CTIPS PPNO = 1120		
ect Title:	Magnolia Avenue Grade S	Accounting/E-FIS ID = 0800001005				
F Region:	SCCG - Southern California	Consensus Group		DLA/LP2000 ID = 5058(083		
ry Report:	Final- Due within six month:	s of project becoming operable	***************************************	Prior Project EA = 0G048		
		Supplemental - Due at the conclusion of all project activities				
County:	RIVERSIDE	City	RIVERSIDE			
tion:	Magnolia Avenue at existin Magnolia Avenue was reali	g UPRR tracks. gned and lowered; and a ra	ilroad bridge was constructed	-		
ation						
g Agency:	City of Riverside		Caltrans District Number:	08		
				THE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACT		
Contact Person:		Farshid Mohammadi		(951) 826-5515		
Address:	fmohammadi@riversideca.gov		_			
				or management and the property of a management of the property		
	Adopted Program	Current Approved	Actual Expended	Net Difference		
	Amount (\$)	Amount (\$)	Amount (\$)	(Dollars)		
al Amount	\$160,000	\$160,000	\$628,191	-\$468,191		
				Keet Colors and Colors and Mari		
al Amount	\$2,500,000	\$2,500,000	\$2,449,039	\$50,961		
al Amount	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
al Amount	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
al:						
al Amount	\$23,500,000	\$23,500,000	\$26,521,656	-\$3,021,656		
al:						
TCIF	\$20,000,000	\$17,288,000	\$17,288,000	\$2,712,000		
Local	\$6,800,000	\$6,800,000	\$7,034,134	-\$234,134		
Federal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
,	\$52,960,000	\$50,248,000	\$53,904,702	-\$944,702		
			1	Net Difference		
se	Date	Date	Date	(Months)		
r.v.	01/01/08	01/01/08	01/01/08	0		
	***************************************			u 1		
se	00/01/00	30/01/00	56/20/00	-1		
	07/31/07	07/31/07	07/01/06	13		
	ļ			-1		
	30,31,00	00/01/00	50,24,00			
1	08/01/06	08/01/06	08/01/06	0		
				-46		
2	0231100	00/01/00	02/20/10	-70		
	03/01/00	02/01/10	12/01/00	2		
				-8		
	00/01/10	01/01/12	00/30/12	-0		
	08/04/40	08/20/40	08/30/42	-24		
				-24		
	roject ID: ect Title: F Region: y Report: County:  tion: ation g Agency: tt Person: Address: Address: TCIF Local Federal	roject ID: 44  ect Title: Magnolia Avenue Grade S F Region: SCCG - Southern California ry Report: Final- Due within six month: Supplemental - Due at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality tracks. Project also include at the of Magnolia Avenue was reality and the of	Adopted Program Amount (\$)   Adopted Program Amount (\$)   Amount \$2,500,000   \$160,000   \$1 Amount \$23,500,000   \$23,500,000   \$1 Amount \$23,500,000   \$23,500,000   \$1 Amount \$23,500,000   \$23,500,000   \$6,800,000   \$6,800,000   \$6,800,000   \$6,800,000   \$6,800,000   \$6,901/08   \$6,901/08   \$6,901/08   \$6,901/08   \$6,901/08   \$6,901/08   \$6,901/08   \$6,901/08   \$6,901/08   \$6,901/09   \$6,901/09   \$6,901/109   \$6,90	Adopted Program   Adopted Program   Adopted Program   Amount (\$)   Amount   \$180,000   \$160,000   \$2,449,039   \$18 Amount   \$2,500,000   \$2,500,000   \$2,449,039   \$18 Amount   \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0		

#### E. Amendments

List of California Transportation Commission (CTC) Approved Amendments

Project Baseline Agreement Amendment: TCIF-P-0910-03, 10/15/09;

- Revised Project delivery schedule for End Design, End ROW, and Start/End Construction

Project Financial Allocation Amendment: TCIF-AA-1213-17, Amending TCIF A-0809-04, 05/07/13;

- Reduce TCIF Allocation by \$2,712,000, from \$20,000,000 to \$17,288,000 to reflect Contract Award Savings

#### F. Project Benefits

Describe and compare project benefits with those included in the Approved Project Baseline Agreement

Outcomes	Adopted Program	Current Approved	Actual
Safety	Eliminate 1) at-grade crossing, 2) pedestrians walking across tracks, and 3) emergency vehicle response delays.	Eliminate 1) at-grade crossing, 2) pedestrians walking across tracks, and 3) emergency vehicle response delays.	Same as approved.
Velocity	Improve railroad velocity by eliminating the potential for train versus vehicle/pedestrian collision.	Improve railroad velocity by eliminating the potential for train versus vehicle/pedestrian collision.	Same as approved.
Throughput	Improve railroad throughput by eliminating the potential for train versus vehicle/pedestrian collision.	Improve railroad throughput by eliminating the potential for train versus vehicle/pedestrian collision.	Same as approved,
Reliability	for train versus vehicle/pedestrian collision.	Improve railroad reliability by eliminating the potential for train versus vehicle/pedestrian collision.	Same as approved.
Congestion Reduction	Reduce vehicle delays due to passing trains.	Reduce vehicle delays due to passing trains.	Same as approved.
Emissions Reductions	Reduce idling vehicle emissions due to passing trains.	Reduce idling vehicle emissions due to passing trains.	Same as approved.

#### G. Differences/Variances

Describe differences/variances (if any) between approved scope, cost, schedule, and actual.

- 1) Construction of the project was "substantially" complete by 1/1/2012, however, Notice-of-Completion was not issued until August 30, 2012, due to slow progress in completing the punch list items as well as repeated delays encountered while testing and accepting the storm water pump station equipments.
- 2) There were several litigations pending and the right-of-way phase not completed until the conclusion of the trials. The owners of the dry cleaner have assumed clean-up responsibility and deposited a portion of the settlement money in a trust account to be used for that purpose. Therefore, since all the right-of-way cost issues have been finalized and all the legal issues have been settled, the right-of-way phase is in fact complete, and the "End" date should coincide with the date of the Stipulated Judgment (2/26/2013).

Н.	Lessons-L	earned/Best	Practices

Describe lessons-learned and best practices for future projects

### **Certification Signature**

# Implementing Agency I hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge, the information in this report is true and accurate. The work was performed in accordance with the California Transportation Commission (CTC) approved scope, cost, schedules, and benefit information in the Project Baseline Agreement or Amendment Farshid Mohammadi (Print name) Project Manager

Fasshid Mchammadi 7/17/2014
(Signature) Project Manager

#### Caltrans

The TCIF Division Program Coordinator from the California Department of Transportation has reviewed the information contained in this report and has verified the information presented is complete. Division Program Coordinator does not have the authority to approve any Scope, Cost or Schedule variances.

Keri Elsberry-Vidad

(Print name) TCIF Division Program Coordinator

(Signature) TCIF Division Program Coordinator

Date

The TCIF Program Lead from the California Department of Transportation has reviewed the information contained in this report and concurs with the Program Coordinator's approval. Program Lead does not have the authority to approve any Scope, Cost or Schedule variances.

Dawn Cheser

(Print name) TCIF Program Lead

(Signature) TCIF Program Lead

Date

Distribution: 1) Implementing Agency 2) CT TCIF Division Program Coordinator 3) CT TCIF Program Lead 4) CTC